

3rd meeting of the EOSC Landscape Working Group

14 October, 2019, TELECONFERENCE, 14:00-16:00 CEST

Attendees: 1) **WG members:** Jan Hrušák (chair), Artur Binczewski, Matthew Dovey, Alizée Francey, Sanja Halling, Biljana Kosanovic, Gianluca Polenta, Petros Sampatakos, Achim Streit, Imre Szeberenyi, Sadia Vancauwenbergh 2) **EC:** Thomas Neidenmark 3) **EOSCsecretariat:** Iiris Liinamaa 4) **Others:** Eva Pastorkova (J. Hrušák's support team)

Apologies: Kessy Abarenkov, Volker Beckmann, Isabel K. Bolliger, Paolo Budroni, Isabel Campos Plasencia, Saara Kontro, Thomas Midtgaard, Susanna Nykyri, Žibutė Petrauskienė Eloy Rodrigues, Ronald Stolk, John Womersley, Rupert Lueck**, Jean-François Abramatic**, Sarah Jones**, Juan Bicarregui**

**Coordinators of other EOSC WG

List of Tasks

	Responsibility	Action	Deadline
1	WG	- Keeping the Landscape Analysis up-to-date	Constant
2	WG	- Contribution to the Landscape Analysis	Constant
3	WG	- Consideration how to fill in the gap of thematic areas that are not covered yet on the LA	Constant
4	WG	- Please use the track changes mode when editing the draft.	Constant
5	WG	- To have a look which chapters each WG member would like to offer his/her help on the document - How to make our work more efficient / inclusive	23rd October, F2F meeting in Helsinki
6	WG	- To add the personal presentation to the EOSCsecretariat website: https://www.eoscsecretariat.eu/wg-members-registration	ASAP
7	Alizée Francey	- To make a copy of the document - To inform the WG of it after it has been done	ASAP
8	Iiris Liinamaa, Eva Pastorkova, Alizée Francey	- The WG will be informed when the document will be open for editing.	ASAP, latest on Monday 21st of October.

9	Iiris Liinamaa	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To ask the EOSC secretariat whether the new consultant to be hired would be able to assist the Landscape WG as well - To find out all the site meetings, events planned by other WGs 	ASAP
10	Jan Hrušák, Thomas Neidenmark	- To go through emails and see how the new consultant would be involved in the WG's actions	ASAP

1. Discussion: First interim report of Landscape

Jan Hrušák emphasized that there is an extremely tight schedule for finalising the report. The plan of having the report ready by end of November will be expected. Drafting team was working hard in order to get the first draft ready. The most of the WG members have seen the report and Jan Hrušák repeated the overview and logics of it. The logics has been written down on the chapter 0: how to read/ feed information to this report. This report will stay a living document that would follow evolutionary dynamics of the entire system. Now it is an iterative principle. The WG will keep the report up-to-date until the very last moment.

Jan Hrušák also mentioned the next steps to the further stage of the Landscape analysis. In the WG there are almost 30 members. The initial editing team of the group is **Alizée Francey**, **Eva Pastorkova** and **Iiris Liinamaa**. The WG will work on a desk study on the internet and existing data infrastructures and initiatives will be realised. There is a large amount of various EOSC-related projects on the European level which is the reason why there is a chapter on them in the Landscape Analysis Report. Everyone is welcome to contribute in this chapter as well as in other chapters of the report. At the moment, several projects have been mentioned. The WG has collected essential information available on the internet. The infrastructures are maintained in three infrastructure groups (data, HPC and networks). The WG will see whether it is a good way to go forward or if the WG shall change the approach. During the first meeting, the WG has decided to include European perspectives in the report. In addition, the WG has decided three types of infrastructures to examine on the national levels. **Jan Hrušák** considers that the WG does not have a complete picture of the topic yet. According to him, it would still be good to maintain the plating on the document as it is but for the final document it might require some rewriting due to the length of the document that should be shortened.

2. Support by EB and GB and next steps

Jan Hrušák advised the WG to collect information for the national strategies and policies (chapter 5). The WG has plenty of information already at the moment but this information will not be presented to the EB and GB yet. The information gathered requires sizeable editing at the moment since the chapter 5 is too long. The WG would do some analytical work on it and discover similarities and trends. The WG would identify good practice examples and patterns that are followed on national level. Newly started projects will naturally flow in as well. The WG should consider how it would fill in the gap of thematic areas that are not covered yet.

According to **Jan Hrušák**, at the moment there are 170 pages on the Landscape Analysis. He introduced the present stage of the report. As the document is too long, the WG should try to get closer to the European landscape. Hopefully thanks to the expertise of the WG, it will be possible to create more advanced structure and preparation for the next draft of the Landscape Analysis Report. Therefore, the WG should discuss the structure and annexes at a later stage. The report must be sent to the EB by the end of December. After this telco the WG hopefully would be able to deliver the first considerations to the GB and to show the progress and stock taking. The WG could show to the EB that it will be able to provide information also of national strategies. However, the WG would not provide information for other chapters (5-7) since sizeable editing needs to be made. The WG will present the document's structure and indicate the first 4 chapters.

Achim Streit kindly asked, when it will be possible to add further content to the document. **Jan Hrušák** answered that since there are not all the people who were in the first meeting where it was discussed, the content will be added next week onwards. **Achim Streit** pointed out it would be important to explain to the GB that this is only the first draft, and more information will be added on it.

Jan Hrušák explained that the WG will present the draft with empty chapters. Even the first 4 chapters are not complete, he would be willing to keep them constantly up-to-date. It would be useful to have validated information from the GB than trying to interpret information from internet. For the time being the WG will keep the final version as it is, and the WG will capture information from all countries. Some of the countries information is already there and some members are still collecting this information. Contribution has been made by at least Germany, Norway, Lithuania, Belgium.

Jan Hrušák explained that the report shows the huge complexity of the topic. There will be discussion how to build it on the expertise of the WG. Discussions will continue in Finland during the F2F meeting on the 23rd of October (the meeting will be 10:00-16:00). This will request active participation of WG's members' expertise to find the best ways to present the information. This would be the point where the WG could start the discussion. The WG would look for comments in general way. **Gianluca Polenta** asked whether the report is going to be on the Landscape WG's website as well. **Jan Hrušák** explained that the EB would need to discuss how to make the report public, and afterwards the WG will follow their instructions. The WG shall post on EOSCsecretariat web page something at some point. However, the WG shall not show the document publicly before it has been approved by both EB and GB.

Jan Hrušák asked if **Thomas Neidenmark** would kindly be able to inform the WG how the GB would like to receive the inputs. He replied that the boards do prepare the meetings beforehand and they constantly ask for expertise. Perhaps the first 4 chapters could be summarized into a shorter text. The WG would need to concentrate on what kind of feedback the WG would need to have. He promised to get back to **John Womersley** about it. On the 15th of November there will be more information about it. Since there is enormous number of information - for the next meeting the WG would have a slot where the WG would be able to present the document. **Jan Hrušák** emphasized that at the end of October there will be a consolidation of the first 4 chapters to the GB.

3. Drafting work

Jan Hrušák introduced **Alizée Francey** to the WG since she has been a very meaningful help of drafting the document. **Alizée Francey** encouraged the WG to use the track changes -mode when editing the document. She explained that the document was closed in order to finish the editing for the first draft to be delivered to the EB and GB. **Jan Hrušák** informed the WG that the document will be opened for editing again as soon as possible, latest on Monday 21st of October. **Achim Streit**

suggested that the WG could add information on it and send an email to the drafting team. **Jan Hrušák** decided that a copy of the document will be taken today and the drafting team will inform the WG about it. **Matthew Dovey** commented it would be useful if the drafting team could make reformatting and push the reformatted versions to Google Docs. **Jan Hrušák** answered the editing team can decide how to do it in order to proceed it. After the drafting team has made their decision and reformatting **Iiris Liinamaa** will inform the WG about it.

Sadia Vancauwenbergh asked how much time the WG will have for editing the draft after Monday 21st of October. **Jan Hrušák** explained that the WG is expected to deliver the report by the end of the year. It is also written on the work plan. In principle the final report will be 3Q of 2020. It would be even more important that apart from the contribution of member states, the WG would include 5b projects' inputs. The 5b projects are now in the process of preparing surveys. Earlier than late November there will be surveys ready. There are indications even from access of raw data in December. The WG will know more of it on pipeline. The document will be open for editing to the stage where the WG would gather information from the 5b projects. This would happen somewhere in the beginning of December. The WG will see how to present the report to the EB and GB. Most likely it will be an oral presentation. The entire of December the WG will be editing and digesting information that will flow in. By the end of the year the document's existing version will be presented in written form to the EB. This version should contain national strategies and policies as well as some national plans. This information is sensitive, and it would be good if the WG had some validation from the member states. This is the picture they are having based on the information available at the moment. Beginning of the January there will be a validation workshop for EB and GB where their members would comment the state of the document and the WG would edit the document accordingly. The validation workshop would be an event where the concrete document would become public afterwards. This must be discussed though. Latest in the beginning of December the WG will have a chance to add their inputs.

Gianluca Polenta asked what would be the contribution of the WG on the first quarter of 2020. **Jan Hrušák** answered chapter 4 will be a stock taking on infrastructures. Chapter 5 is about the national policies and legislations and will be working on existing policies and strategies. The information will not only be descriptive. The actual work starts as the WG has to analyse the data, existing documents and more targeted questions. They are not entirely clear yet. The WG shall provide requested information. It shall be able to guide the works, statements and reference framework of the report. A good target date will be before the summer break 2020.

Achim Streit asked about when the personal presentations for the website of EOSCsecretariat will be published. **Jan Hrušák** answered that the list of the members is on the page of 4 on the Landscape Analysis. The reason why the the profiles have not been published yet is that not everyone might have provided their personal presentations. Also there are still some GDPR regulations to be discussed.

4. Support for the project

Artur Binczewski asked how long the chapter 4 and 6 and the annexes are expected to be. **Jan Hrušák** commented that as the WG can see, the document is, at the moment, not complete. He answered, the whole document shall not exceed 100 pages, and due to this, 80-90 pages would be ideal length. During the pre-final editing, the WG shall extract all the singular information on individual countries and put them in the annexes. The document would then become more readable and also shorter. The WG will have an overview of situation in countries. On annexes there will be more detailed information about it. Sizeable editing will be done during December: in Helsinki the WG will

discuss how to engage the WG into work of editing the document. The WG will need to do some brainstorming in order to determine the best way to do it.

Artur Binczewski asked how the WG is going to harmonise it. The WG is well aware of such challenges. Some information will be received from 5b projects. The WG would get in closer contacts with the projects and find out what information they would provide in such templates. **Jan Hrušák** will not be available for the next F2F meeting in Helsinki on the 23rd of October. During the F2F meeting the WG will reshape the document and decide the next steps.

Biljana Kosanovic commented that EOSC 5b projects had a webinar on Friday 11th of October about landscaping. 2 of the 5b projects will finish landscaping by January 2020. She asked if this could help the WG somehow. In addition, since she found the open call a new expert at EOSCsecretariat website she asked if the WG would be able to get assistance from their side as well. **Jan Hrušák** commented that some information of national infrastructures will be taken from OpenAire. Not all the projects will be ready by the deadline of this document. Therefore, the WG will incorporate them into the report at a later stage. The WG should speed up though but he emphasized he would not be able to reply on the question directly. The WG will continue working on the open compilations and information on country sheets. The WG shall try to speed up with work on annexes.

5. Co-Creation and next meeting

Iiris Liinamaa explained that there is the possibility to apply for the co-creation funding from the EB according to the new template available online. In case the WG members had ideas what to apply for, the ideas are very welcome. **Saara Kontro** has drafted some documents already. The discussion went into how the consultant would benefit the WG and **Iiris Liinamaa** will ask from the EOSCsecretariat whether the new consultant would be able to assist the WG. **Thomas Neidenmark** commented that as the WG knows, co-creation is focused to help EB in every way EOSCsecretariat would make it real.

Jan Hrušák informed the WG the next meetings in Budapest will be during the 26-29 of November. **Iiris Liinamaa** was asked to find out all the site meetings and events planned by other WGs. It was discussed that the WG could arrange a bilateral or parallel meetings with other WG's.