# **EOSC Rules Of Participation Working Group**

7<sup>th</sup> conference meeting,

Danubius Hotel, Budapest, Orion conference room

28/11/2019, 14:30-16:00 CET

Participants: J.Bicarregui(Co-Chair), W.Horstmann(Co-Chair), D.Robertson, C.Witzig, T.Piontek, O. Appleton, C.Marinos-Kouris (Secretariat)

#### **Preliminaries**

The Chairs opened the meeting followed by a brief introduction of the attendees. The agenda of the meeting was reviewed and it was proposed that 2 additional items should be discussed at some point during the meeting 1) Collaborating with AAI task force of Architecture WG 2) Connecting with Digital Innovation Hub.

## 1) Reflections on EOSC Symposium RoP session & further discussions

Slides of the presentation can be found in the following link: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1alaYL8VvA7FUufLe4N2LfvQvi1Imb0kt

The general feeling of the attendees was that the presentations were very nice and created a lively interactive feeling to the audience that brought up fruitful comments and questions to take into consideration.

It was furthermore mentioned that the presentation made by EC officer M.Schouppe was very insightful. More specifically it was noted that process that WG RoP undertook in drafting the current version of the RoP document had led to conclusions that highly aligns with points made by Michel. Moreover, it was suggested by the chairs that these principles should be further examined, and along with stakeholders feedback, gathered on the document and the poll answers that the attendees of the meeting provided to the Slido poll, should be used as a basis for the revised version of the RoP document.

The Chairs suggested that it would be useful if a list of existing certification schemes for certain services could be composed. Furthermore, it was discussed that the Core Federating services should have more formal accreditation than the federated services for which a variety of different accreditation schemes could be provided.

Also discussed was the relationship between the owner of EOSC RoPs (possibly a legal construct of EOSC) and existing set of rules already established across organizations and communities, and how it was necessary to ensure alignment between them.

Through discussion the WG concluded that there are 3 distinct types of RoPs:

- 1) RoPs for data providers
- 2) RoPs for providers of other forms of service
- 3) RoPs for providers of the Federating Core services

At this point it was also mentioned that the WG should have a glossary of definitions with the 1<sup>st</sup> version of the RoP document and that the Secretariat should provide the WG with an update on the status of the glossary co-creation activity that is being currently under development.

Finally it was discussed if Service Level Agreements (SLAs) might be considered as a tool to be used in the RoP although this was rejected in general due to the danger of discouraging service providers from participating in EOSC.

#### a. EOSC Onboarding Session

The general feeling of the WG members that had participated to the EOSC Onboarding session was that some of the projects were mainly focussing on the services that they provide through their catalogues and less on how to federate services under the EOSC umbrella.

#### b. General Comments/Feedback on the Symposium event

Comments expressed during the meeting:

- Agendas for the meeting need to be circulated earlier so people could have time to decide and schedule if they would like to participate
- The WG could benefit from targeted feedback from specific communities that understand EOSC concept e.g. ESFRIs

## 2) Preparation of the 1st version of EOSC RoP document

Members of WG RoP were asked to volunteer to participate in the editorial team that will compose the 1<sup>st</sup> released version of the EOSC RoP document.

The Chairs of the WG will prepare a first version for discussion at the next WG meeting on 12th of December when further planning will be discussed.

#### 3) Plans for 2020

#### a. Activities

The participants reviewed the WG plans for the coming year. The following items were discussed for possible inclusion in the timeline for 2020:

- · Collect feedback on draft RoP through Secretariat Liaison platform
- Engagement with cluster projects for feedback
- Consideration of legal aspects of the RoP
- Alignment with the work of other WGs
- · Alignment with EOSC 5b project task force on regulations

Dates were fixed for the WG meetings that will take place in 2020. (Meetings will be held on the 2nd Thursday of every month at 15:00-16:30 CET, with the exception of August and possibly December and November when the next Symposium is expected. The Secretariat will circulate a calendar with the 2020 meeting dates.)

### b. Expanding the WG

The possibility of expanding the Working Group was discussed. The current number of WG members is satisfying and there is no pressing need to enlarge the WG, although it is important to involve specific experts that could contribute to targeted topics. It was discussed how these experts should participate, perhaps as members of task forces aiming to specific tasks. The WG decided to revisit this topic in future meetings. Finally a member proposed that the WG could contemplate a possible collaboration with Digital Innovation Hub network and a possible invitation to specific DIH members to provide a view point of the research and innovation part of the industry.