

Please be aware that the document does not reflect the views of the EU MS, the AC nor the mentioned infrastructures but of the WG members, based on a data collection process in November 2019.

Country Sheet

[Please insert country's name, e.g. Austria]

Current policy¹ on open science and FAIR data

1. Is there a current policy on **open science and FAIR data** in place? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

Responsibility regarding policy making (strategic level): *[Please detail the current policy in the country concerned. Please add the responsibility at national level. Please also add the ongoing preparation (plan) if no current policy is in place or if updates are expected. Max. ≈ 150 words]*

Responsibility regarding funding (supporting open science and FAIR data in practice): *[Please detail the current funding mechanisms in the country concerned. Please add the responsibility at national level. Please also add the ongoing preparation (plan) if no current funding policy is in place or if updates are expected. Max. ≈ 150 words]*

Further observations / information: *[Please include here any further observations or precisions, which are part of open science and FAIR data but don't fit the previous boxes. E.g. there is no national policy, but X and Y university have their own policies Max. ≈ 150 words]*

1.1. Is there a current policy regarding **publications**² in place? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

1.1.1. If yes, does it include **open access to publications**? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

Responsibility regarding policy making (strategic level): *[Please complete this part ONLY IF the current policy and responsibility regarding publications are different from open science policy. If this is the case, please detail the current policy regarding publications and add the responsibility. Max. ≈ 150 words.]*

Responsibility regarding funding: *[Please complete this part ONLY IF the current funding mechanisms regarding publications are different from open science funding mechanisms. If this is the case, please detail the current funding mechanisms regarding publications and add the responsibility. Max. ≈ 150 words.]*

1.2. Is there a current policy regarding **data / services** in place? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

1.2.1. If yes, does it include **open data**? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

¹ Please understand policy as 'anything' from guideline to mandate to code of conduct or actual law. Please aim for very high-level descriptive statements.
Please add a footnote if you think the way you understood a certain term should be specified as the Glossary Task Force will work on the terminology at a later date.

² Please consider national funders and policy encouraging open access to publications.

Please be aware that the document does not reflect the views of the EU MS, the AC nor the mentioned infrastructures but of the WG members, based on a data collection process in November 2019.

Responsibility regarding policy making (strategic level): **[Please complete this part ONLY IF the current policy and responsibility are different from open science policy. If this is the case, please detail the current policy regarding data / services and add the responsibility. Max. ≈ 150 words.]**

Responsibility regarding funding: **[Please complete this part ONLY IF the current funding mechanisms regarding data / services are different from open science funding mechanisms. If this is the case, please detail the current funding mechanisms regarding data / services and add the responsibility. Max. ≈ 150 words.]**

1.3. Is there a current policy regarding **research evaluation** in place? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

Responsibility regarding policy making (strategic level): **[Please complete this part ONLY IF the current policy and responsibility are different from open science policy. If this is the case, please detail the current policy regarding research evaluation and add the responsibility. Max. ≈ 150 words.]**

Responsibility regarding funding: **[Please complete this part ONLY IF the current funding mechanisms regarding research evaluation are different from open science funding mechanisms. If this is the case, please detail the current funding mechanisms regarding research evaluation and add the responsibility. Max. ≈ 150 words.]**

1.4. Is there a current policy regarding **open learning** in place? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

Responsibility regarding policy making (strategic level): **[Please complete this part ONLY IF the current policy and responsibility are different from open science policy. If this is the case, please detail the current policy regarding open learning and add the responsibility. Max. ≈ 150 words.]**

Responsibility regarding funding: **[Please complete this part ONLY IF the current funding mechanisms regarding open learning are different from open science funding mechanisms. If this is the case, please detail the current funding mechanisms regarding open learning and add the responsibility. Max. ≈ 150 words.]**

2. Are there references to EOSC in the current policies? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

References to EOSC: *[Please detail the current references to EOSC in the country concerned. Max. ≈ 150 words]*

2.1. Is funding or criteria for funding mentioned (with regards to EOSC)? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

Funding: *[Please detail the current funding or criteria for funding mentioned (with regards to EOSC) in the country concerned. Max. ≈ 150 words]*

2.2. Is there a national contact point for open science? *[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]*

Yes	No	In planning

Please be aware that the document does not reflect the views of the EU MS, the AC nor the mentioned infrastructures but of the WG members, based on a data collection process in November 2019.

<i>National contact point for open science: [Please detail information regarding national contact point for open science in the country concerned. Max. ≈ 150 words]</i>		
2.3. Is there a national contact point for EOSC? <i>[Please answer by adding a (x) in the appropriate cell.]</i>		
Yes	No	In planning
<i>National contact point for EOSC: [Please detail information regarding national contact point for EOSC in the country concerned. Max. ≈ 150 words]</i>		

Please be aware that the document does not reflect the views of the EU MS, the AC nor the mentioned infrastructures but of the WG members, based on a data collection process in November 2019.

Current e-infrastructure landscape

3. Current e-infrastructure that is to be federated / accessible to the EOSC ³	
<i>[Please detail the current e-Infrastructure landscape that is to be federated / accessible to the EOSC. Max. ≈ 300 words in total (including 3.1-3.3.)]</i>	
3.1. Data infrastructures	<i>[Please add the responsibilities considering financing (€).]</i>
	<i>[Please add the responsibilities considering operating.]</i>
	<i>[Please add information / responsibilities regarding plans/future.]</i>
	<i>[Please add information regarding the number of researchers.]</i>
	<i>[Please add information / responsibilities regarding the funding of researchers (estimated salary + amount of research project as well as the responsible institution.)]</i>
3.2. HPC infrastructures	<i>[Please add the responsibilities considering financing (€).]</i>
	<i>[Please add the responsibilities considering operating.]</i>
	<i>[Please add information / responsibilities regarding plans/future.]</i>
	<i>[Please add information regarding the number of researchers.]</i>
	<i>[Please add information / responsibilities regarding the funding of researchers (estimated salary + amount of research project as well as the responsible institution.)]</i>
3.3. Repositories	<i>[Please add the responsibilities considering financing (€).]</i>
	<i>[Please add the responsibilities considering operating.]</i>
	<i>[Please add information / responsibilities regarding plans/future.]</i>
	<i>[Please add information regarding the number of researchers.]</i>
	<i>[Please add information / responsibilities regarding the funding of researchers (estimated salary + amount of research project as well as the responsible institution.)]</i>

³ Please count only the resources that could, in principle, be federated into or accessible from the EOSC. If it is not possible to estimate the costs, please state an estimation, e.g "over 100MEUR" or "over 1 billion over the past ten years."
Please add an asterix to the infrastructures that have already committed.

Please be aware that the document does not reflect the views of the EU MS, the AC nor the mentioned infrastructures but of the WG members, based on a data collection process in November 2019.

Aggregated information regarding open and FAIR science policy

Country' s name (e.g Austria)	National open and FAIR science policy [Please insert the colour code regarding the status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • current policy in place = green • no current policy in place = red • current policy in the planning = orange.] 	Responsibility regarding policy making [Please insert the colour code regarding the responsibility: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ministry = dark blue • Research Council = lila • RFO = brown • RPO = pink • Others (please specify) = blue] 	References to EOSC current policies [Please insert the colour code regarding the status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes = green • no = red • in the planning = orange.] 	Funding criteria with regards to EOSC [Please insert the colour code regarding the status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes = green • no = red • in the planning = orange.] 	National contact points for EOSC / open science [Please insert the colour code regarding the status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes = green • no = red • in the planning = orange.] 	
		Responsibility at strategic level [1]	Funding responsibility [2]			
	Open and FAIR science					
	<i>Publications</i>					
	<i>Data / services</i>					
	<i>Research evaluation</i>					
	<i>Open learning</i>					
<i>Data / services</i>						